


## INTERNATIONAL SMALL CAP STRATEGY

### RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT APPROACH

Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations are fully integrated into Van Berkomp's research and portfolio management processes. Our Responsible Investment Policy ensures that material ESG factors are systematically assessed at every stage of the investment process, seeking companies that demonstrate best-in-class practices across their operations, including the management of ESG risks and opportunities.

Our investment team applies our proprietary ESG Assessment model with an internal scoring methodology to evaluate companies' ESG performance. This integrated approach ensures that ESG insights directly inform our investment theses, financial analysis, and portfolio construction.




### CARBON FOOTPRINT METRICS

#### CARBON FOOTPRINT in USD as at September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2025

|                                                                                    | Van Berkomp | MSCI ACWI<br>ex-USA<br>Small Cap | Van Berkomp<br>/ Index |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Carbon Emissions<br>t. CO <sub>2</sub> e / M. USD                                  | 21.6        | 214.8                            | 10.1%                  |
| Weighted Average<br>Carbon Intensity (WACI)<br>t. CO <sub>2</sub> e / M. Sales USD | 34.5        | 228.8                            | 15.1%                  |
| % Reported                                                                         | 75%         | 81%                              |                        |

Van Berkomp calculates its portfolios' carbon emissions and carbon intensity using the methodology established by the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). Carbon emissions correspond to portfolio companies' Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions that are either reported to or estimated by Bloomberg, for a total coverage of 100%.

#### EVOLUTION



### PROPRIETARY ESG SCORE

#### ESG SCORE as at September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2025

|                   | E    | S    | G    |
|-------------------|------|------|------|
| Strongest score   | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.77 |
| Portfolio average | 3.55 | 3.80 | 3.83 |
| Weakest score     | 1.00 | 2.80 | 2.62 |

#### EVOLUTION

|                   | 2024 | 2025 |
|-------------------|------|------|
| Strongest score   | 4.82 | 4.81 |
| Portfolio average | 3.80 | 3.68 |
| Weakest score     | 2.78 | 2.68 |

The ESG score represents the weighted average of a company's ESG evaluation from our proprietary ESG assessment representing a 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest) points model for all portfolio holdings.

### COMMENTARY

The strategy's carbon footprint increased year-on-year, with carbon emissions up 67% and WACI up 22% from 2024. While several holdings reduced their contribution to the portfolio's footprint—notably MDA Space, Laureate Education, Atour Lifestyle, and VusionGroup—these improvements were more than offset by the addition of new holdings and higher contributions from existing positions. The increase was also driven by a shift toward more carbon-intensive Industrials, Materials, and Health Care exposures, while some lower-carbon holdings were reduced or exited. Overall, the evolution reflects the impact of portfolio positioning rather than broad-based decarbonisation, with the addition and increased weighting of more carbon-intensive exposures leading to higher absolute emissions and carbon intensity compared to 2024.

## INTERNATIONAL SMALL CAP STRATEGY

### STEWARDSHIP APPROACH

While we generally support existing management, our commitment lies unwaveringly with the best long-term interests of shareholders and our clients. We believe in a bottom-up approach to proxy voting decision making, as each vote presents unique circumstances. For each vote, Proxy statements are reviewed by the investment team, supported by independent proxy advisory research, but final voting decisions rest with the team member responsible for the investment. Because we invest in businesses that already treat stakeholders well and show leadership on material ESG issues, there is typically strong alignment between our expectations and the proposals brought forward at shareholder meetings.

### PROXY VOTING

|                               | 2024 | 2025 |
|-------------------------------|------|------|
| Number of portfolio companies | 38   | 38   |
| Number of meetings            | 22   | 54   |
| Proposals voted for           | 246  | 548  |
| Proposals voted against       | 12   | 38   |
| Total proposals voted         | 260  | 592  |

The number of portfolio companies is as at December 31, 2025. All other figures reflect votes cast throughout the year, including changes in portfolio holdings.

### Proxy Voting Case Study: AutoStore Holdings LTD's Advisory Vote on Election of Directors

The company elects directors via a slate vote, limiting shareholders' ability to assess individual nominees. We opposed the proposed slate due to concerns regarding the independence of director Andreas Hansson. Mr. Hansson previously worked for SoftBank and represented this major shareholder on AutoStore's board for the past four years. His transition to an "independent" director role is therefore highly questionable. As a result, the board would include only two independent directors, an insufficient proportion to adequately represent minority shareholders. Given the importance of genuinely independent oversight—particularly for companies with a dominant shareholder—we voted against the election slate.

### ENGAGEMENT EFFORTS

|                              | E | S | G  | Total |
|------------------------------|---|---|----|-------|
| Total companies engaged with | 1 | 3 | 11 | 15    |
| Engagement in progress       | 1 | - | 8  | 9     |
| Engagement realised          | - | 3 | 3  | 6     |

### Engagement Case Study: Enhancing Accountability

Intercos (ICOS IM) is a global business to-business leader in the beauty industry, specializing in the creation, development, and manufacturing of color cosmetics, skincare, and personal care products for many of the world's major beauty brands. Following our vote against management in April 2025 regarding the remuneration policy and Annual General Meeting (AGM) procedure permitting closed door meetings, we engaged directly with the company to address these governance concerns. On remuneration, we recommended revising the remuneration committee's ability to grant unlimited discretionary bonuses. While we do not oppose discretionary bonuses in principle, we believe they should be capped and represent only a small component of overall compensation. We also raised questions about equity awards for executives who are also members of the founding family. In our view, compensation should be tied to performance and structured in a way that aligns management and shareholders. We recommended the company continues to follow best practices in setting and disclosing performance-based targets to reinforce alignment with shareholders. On governance, we expressed concerns about the continuation of closed-door meetings permitted under Italian capital markets, currently extended up to September 30, 2026. In our opinion, closed-door meetings should be avoided in all but exceptional circumstances. The 2025 AGM was convened with exclusive participation through a designated shareholder representative. We believe companies should, at minimum, offer shareholders the ability to participate in AGMs through virtual alternatives. We expect an update on this issue in the first quarter of 2026; otherwise, we may need to escalate our concerns directly to the board.

Van Berkomp and Associates Inc. ("Van Berkomp"), also known and operating as Van Berkomp Global Asset Management, is a Canadian firm federally incorporated and registered as portfolio manager and as exempt market dealer with the Autorité des marchés financiers ("AMF") of Quebec and with the securities commissions of the nine other Canadian provinces, and as investment fund manager, where required. Van Berkomp is also registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") as an independent investment adviser. The information provided herein is for informational purposes only; it is not intended to provide professional advice and should not be relied as recommendations of investment or investment advice that apply to your financial situation. It should not be considered a solicitation to buy or an offer to sell a product or a security. It does not take into account any investor's particular investment objectives, strategies, tax status or investment horizon. There is no representation or warranty as to the current accuracy of, nor liability for, decisions based on such information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Van Berkomp claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®). GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein. Important information about Van Berkomp, including a GIPS® Composite Report and additional information regarding policies for calculating and reporting returns, may be obtained from Van Berkomp by communicating with Andy Kong, Head of Global Business Development, at [akong@vanberkompglobal.com](mailto:akong@vanberkompglobal.com).

